## TRIPLE RIDGE FOREST PARTNERSHIP

# Forest & Joint Venture Particulars + 2019 Annual Report

# **Partnership Particulars**

Year Established 2000

Number of Partners 47

Main Assets 262 hectares of plantation forest in 5 stands

Management Committee G McVicar, A McKenzie, M Tillman

Forest Manager Warren Forestry Ltd

Accountants Bartram's

Bank ANZ Bank

Independent Forestry Consultant Patrick Milne, NZIF Registered Consultant

Supervisor Covenant Trustee Services Ltd



Glens of Tekoa, Dec 2019: GT5 Douglas-fir in the foreground; GT6 Radiata pine in the distance

## TRIPLE RIDGE FOREST PARTNERSHIP

## Forest & Joint Venture Particulars + 2019 Annual Report

#### **Nature of Business**

The sole business activity of the Partnership is to grow and then harvest a plantation forest in five forest stands on land provided pursuant to Joint Venture Agreements ('JVAs') by three landowners in North Canterbury.

The JVAs provide Forestry Rights, which are registered on the landowners' titles, enabling and requiring the Partnership to establish, tend and manage forest regimes for one rotation on the land.

At harvest, net forest proceeds are shared between the partnership and land owners with the split ranging from 72/25% to 87/10%, with 3% going to Warren Forestry Ltd. That split is fixed from the start by agreement and is arrived at from an assessment of the forest sites' merits, principally the cost of forest establishment and silviculture, likely forest growth & yield, and likely harvest costs.

However in one case rental was paid for three years significantly reducing the Landowner's share. The joint venture ends at harvest or forest sale and the Partnership is dissolved.

### **Outline of Land, Forest Location, Species, Regimes & Joint Venture Shares**

**Glens of Tekoa:** 7,800ha sheep & beef farm north of the Hurunui River inland of Culverden, North Canterbury foothills owned by W & G McRae.

**GT6:** 24ha Radiata pine (seed lot GF19 seedlings) planted in 2000; framing regime, i.e. not pruned and high stocking maintained. Expected harvest at about age 30 (2030). Partnership/Landowner shares: 80/17%.

**GT5:** 48ha Douglas-fir (seed lot Hebo) planted in 2000, framing regime i.e. unpruned. Much of it will be thinned to waste in 2017. There is the possibility of production thinning around age 25 to be considered if feasible. Expected harvest at about age 35 to 40. Shares: 77/20%.

**Leslie Hills:** 3,440ha sheep & beef farm north of the Waiau River, south of Hanmer Springs, North Canterbury foothills owned by E Rutherford and family.

**LH3:** 167ha Radiata pine (seed lot GF19 seedlings) planted in 2000; 141ha is high pruned and thinned to 330sph and the rest is an unthinned framing regime. Expected harvest at about age 30 (2030) or perhaps a few years earlier. Shares 72/25%.

**Kalimera:** 922ha Perendale sheep stud farm on the north bank of the Conway River, at Hundalee, North Canterbury, owned by T & S Anderson.

**KM1:** 19ha Radiata pine (seed lot 50/50 GF17 seedlings/GF28 cuttings) planted in 2000; all clearwood regime, thinned to 320sph. Expected harvest about age 29 (2029). Shares 87/10% (high rental was paid for first 3 years). Also 3.3ha *Cupressus macrocarpa* (mix of seedlings and cuttings); all pruned and part thinned in 2015/16 to 550sph.

## **Annual Report**

#### **General & 2019 Specific Information**

**Forest Growth & Health:** All was well in 2019. The five forest stands are healthy and were not affected adversely by any weather or climatic events. They are growing vigorously.

**Insurance & Valuation:** The forest is insured for fire and storm losses, clearance and replanting costs, earthquake and Public Liability, plus for claims costs (NB: firefighting costs are now covered by the state). All cover has excesses or upper limits judged as reasonable by the Forest Manager in consultation with the Independent Forestry Consultant. It is a judgement call choosing the level of cover. Both cost and risk are relevant. The insurer does not give us a discount for the lower risk gained by having the forest in separate stands, mostly bordered by grazed farmland, in the ley of hills and not publicly accessible.

Also, the Douglas-fir is lower risk. So it is tempting to under insure that, but we don't.

The policy is tendered annually by forest management and consultancy firm PF Olsen Ltd, the largest forest manager in NZ, as a group insurance plan for its many clients.

As part of the insurance contract service, Olsens provide a free valuation based on area, location, age, species and the regime of each individual forest stand.

As this value is 'broad brush' we compare it to a value arrived at by the Forest Manager and Independent Forestry Consultants' valuation calculator. This uses actual forest data which specifically produces forest stand values for the Partnership's forest, based on a growth model. This is straight forward to update annually.

The method used, is the same as Olsen's but from more detailed and specific information about our forest stands. It uses discounted cash flow from a calculation of forecast net harvest proceeds. Getting to that figure involves making several significant assumptions or 'judgment calls', the discount rate being the most significant. It is regarded in the industry as the best method for midrotation valuation even though it is by its nature quite theoretical, and the further out the more so. Forests are unusual and quite variable assets and there are insufficient sales of similar immature forests to give forests a market valuation, which if it existed would arguably be better.

The insurance year runs from June to May so the current sum insured was set in May 2019. The insured value is an agreed value with the insurer. It does not have to be exactly Olsen's or our forest value. It just has to be acceptable to the insurer so probably could easily be 50% higher or lower, which would of course be reflected in the premium.

We decided to insure above the then current valuation (31 Dec 2018) in case values kept rising up to 30 May 2020. As it happened, that turned out to be close to the 31 Dec 2019 valuation.

Actual sum insured (total fire cover)	\$4,423,566
Olsen's Insurance value for 2019/20	\$3,656,512
Forest Valuation as at 31/12/18	\$4,125,179
Forest Valuation as at 31/12/19	\$4,433,240
Wind storm cover (the maximum offered)	\$1,000,000
Public liability	\$2,000,000
Earthquake	\$250,000
Clearance and replanting cover	\$3,500 per ha
Claims costs cover	\$10,000
Insurance cost June 2019-May 2020	\$13,224

The Emissions Trading Scheme ('ETS'). In 2010 the Partnership's forest stands were registered in the ETS. The Partnership received carbon credits, known as NZUs, until leaving the ETS in 2014 and returning cheaper European credits to the government instead of our NZUs. That was done to avoid having to return these first five years of NZUs at harvest, as the rules would otherwise require. That turned out to be a significant windfall and we have received enough cash by selling down our (old) NZUs gradually to cover all outgoings for many years. We still have around \$69,000 worth at current value.

In December 2017 our application to rejoin was largely accepted. This time MPI did not approve some forest area as they were not convinced it was not forest land in 1990, which is a requirement. This is possibly incorrect so we may seek a review. But mostly those fail.

A long review of the ETS ended in 2019 and significant changes have been announced which come into effect in 2021. Unfortunately, the new rules which allow up to half of the credits received to be retained beyond harvest so long as there is a replant, do not apply to any of this Partnership's forest stands. They are too old. However, there is a new 'Permanent Forest' option which JV forest owners, especially of Douglas-fir could benefit from.

Joining in 2017 meant we got all credits earned from 2013 to 2017, and then indefinitely. There is no longer an arbitrage opportunity and it is unlikely to occur again. It remains an option for us to take an income from these (new) carbon credits and then see what happens as we approach harvest age. However, that would require a new agreement with our landowners which may not be feasible. But we will seriously look at, and advise on that option, especially for our large, longer rotation Douglasfir stand. In theory we could earn as much from carbon credits as log sales, and delay harvest or even have some of both. Any changes to this investment will require investor and landowner consent at a very high level, which is unlikely unless it is very low or no risk.

**Forest Management and Partnership Management & Administration.** Warren Forestry Ltd remains Forest Manager and Partnership Administrator for the Partnership's Manager which is its elected Management Committee. Important forest matters are ultimately decided in AGMs with advice from the Manager & Independent Forestry Consultant pursuant to the Partnership's Deed of Participation and Joint Venture Agreements.

**Supervision.** The Supervisor is Covenant Trustee Services Ltd, formerly our Statutory Supervisor, with largely the same role and continues to hold the Forestry Rights and any NZUs as trustee.

Markets for Logs & Forests - General Comment. In 2019 log markets were consistently good until June when there was a big drop due to oversupply. But most, although not all that fall was recovered by December. Chinese demand has been strong and stable for a few years. The log market is almost entirely export dependent, since 90% of NZ production is exported either as logs (60%) or product processed to some degree. The value of the NZ dollar is another significant factor as are shipping costs. Both changed little in 2019.

Diesel costs affect harvest and transport costs which are still relatively expensive, so we hope new technology will lower these in future, and or log prices rise further to offset them.

Overall there was no prices/costs increase in forest values in 2019. So the value increase shown above is from being one year closer to harvest, as net harvest revenue is discounted back to now.

### **Comparison of Budget to Costs**

Actual Expenditure Compared to Budget	Budget 2019	Actual 2019	Actual 2018
Operating costs			
Accountant's fees	2,000	1,940	1,748
Bank charges	-	67	97
ETS associated work	500	500	800
Insurance	10,200	13,224	9,394
Management & Administration by WFL	6,900	6,900	7,900
Pruning & Thinning	-	-	14,450
Supervisor: annual fee	1,500	1,500	1,500
Subscriptions	-	-	115
Track maintenance	1,800	1,000	600
	22,900	25,131	36,604

#### Notes:

**ETS Associated Work:** As referred to on page 4, the ETS keeps us on our toes with increasing complexity and changes. We engage an expert to advise us and attend government briefings etc. It is worth it for the Partnership, as was proved in the early days and we still think there is more to come.

**Insurance:** We decided to increase cover again significantly, since there was a continuing strong increase in forest value due to log price increases. Also, fire appears to be an increasing risk. The Budget was done earlier in the year.

Management & Administration by WFL: This is a fixed fee set annually to cover all time cost and mileage for all likely routine work. We work it out from looking at the previous year's hours and mileage for all six of our forest investment partnerships and making any adjustment for likely changes. Then we apportion the total to the partnerships based on forest area and adjust them again up or down depending on any expected extra work for a particular partnership.

Track Maintenance: We thought we may need to grade LH3 but did not. Likely in 2020.

Charles Etherington
Warren Forestry Ltd
Forest Manager & Administrator for the Partnership's Management Committee

February 2020

PTO for some pics...

Same forest stands as page 1, at two different ages, plus pre-planting site



GT5 Sep 1999, before planting



GT5 & GT6 Dec 2006, aged 6



GT5 & GT6 Feb 2018, aged 18